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#### Abstract

Classes of function $W_{p}^{r}, r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right), 1<p<\infty$, with "dominated" mixed derivative are considered. A new formula for the $K$-functional of the couple ( $L_{p} ; W_{p}^{\prime}$ ) is proved. The functional spaces generated by the real method of interpolation are described. ic. 1995 Academic Press. Inc.


Let $f$ be a function of $n$ real variables that are $2 \pi$-periodic in each variable and such that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) d x_{j}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

Denote by $f^{(\mathbf{r})}$ a mixed derivative of order $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ in the Weil sense. If $f^{(I)} \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{n}\right), 1<p<\infty$, we say that $f$ belongs to the class $W_{p}^{r}$. For convenience sake, consider the coordinates $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ as being in nondecreasing order of magnitude.

Let $\|f\|_{w_{r}^{r}}=\|f\|_{p, \text { r }} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|f^{(\mathbf{r})}\right\|_{p}$. Beginning with Babensko's paper [1] many papers have devoted to the investigation of these classes (see, for example, [2]).

In this paper we give a formula for the $K$-functional of the couple ( $L_{p} ; W_{p}^{r}$ ) in the Ciesielski form and describe the interpolating space generated by the real method of interpolation.

[^0]1. Let us remember the definition of the $K$-functional (see, for example, [3]). Peetre's $K$-functional is given by the formula

$$
K\left(t, f ; L_{p} ; W_{p}^{\mathbf{r}}\right)=\inf _{f=g+h}\left(\|g\|_{p}+t\|h\|_{p, \mathbf{r}}\right),
$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations $f=g+h$.
Let

$$
\rho(s)=\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: 2^{s_{j}-1} \leqslant\left|k_{j}\right|<2^{s_{3}}, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

be sets of indices for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}+$
We denote the segments of the Fourier series of $f$ by

$$
\delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \rho \mid s)} \hat{f}(k) e^{i(k, x)}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $2^{N}=[1 / t]$. Then
$K\left(t^{r_{i}} ; f ; L_{p} ; W_{p}^{\mathbf{r}}\right) \sim\left\|\frac{1}{2^{N_{r_{1}}}} \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{\partial x_{1}^{r_{1} \cdots \partial} x_{n}^{r_{n}}} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x})+\sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})>r_{1} N} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x})\right\|_{p}$.
Proof. It is based on the Marcinkievicz multiplier theorem and Bohr's and Bernstein's inequalities [2]. From the definition and the Marcinkievicz theorem we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(t^{r_{1}} ; f ; L_{p} ; W_{p}^{\mathbf{r}}\right) \leqslant & \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})>r_{1} N} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x}) \|_{p} \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{N r_{1}}}\left\|\sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{\partial_{x_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{r_{n}}} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x})\right\|_{p} \\
\leqslant & C_{p} \| \frac{1}{2^{N r_{1}}} \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{\partial x_{1}^{r_{1} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{r_{n}}}} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x}) \\
& +\sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})>r_{1} N} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x}) \|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove the lower estimate it suffices to show the following two inequalities: The first is

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{N r_{1}}} \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathrm{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{\partial x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{r_{n}}} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(g ; \mathbf{x})+\sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathrm{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(g ; \mathbf{x})\right\|_{p} \leqslant C_{p}\|g\|_{p}
$$

and it follows from Bernstein's inequality and the Marcinkievicz theorem.

Bohr's inequality and the Marcinkievicz theorem yield the second inequality:

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{2^{N r_{1}}} \sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{\partial x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{r_{n}}} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(h ; \mathbf{x})+\sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})>r_{1} N} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(h ; \mathbf{x})\right\|_{p} \leqslant \frac{C(p, \mathbf{r})}{2^{N r_{1}}}\|h\|_{p, \mathbf{r}}
$$

The theorem is proved.
2. Let us consider the following linear polynomial method of summability

$$
R_{N}(f ; \mathbf{x})=\sum_{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}) \leqslant r_{1} N}\left[\delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x})-\frac{1}{2^{r_{1}}} \frac{\partial^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}}}{\partial x_{\mathbf{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots \partial x_{n}^{r_{n}}} \delta_{\mathbf{s}}(f ; \mathbf{x})\right]
$$

the so-called "step-hyperbolic" Riesz means [2]. Thus the theorem can be rewritten in the form

$$
K\left(t^{r_{1}} ; f ; L_{p} ; W_{p}^{\mathbf{r}}\right) \simeq\left\|f-R_{N}(f)\right\|_{p}
$$

and the class of interpolating spaces generated by the real method is defined by the seminorm [3]

$$
\|f\|_{\theta, q}= \begin{cases}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\left(2^{k \theta r_{1}}\left\|f-R_{k}(f)\right\|_{p}\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}, & 0<\theta \leqslant 1 ; 1 \leqslant q<\infty \\ \sup _{k} 2^{k \theta r_{1}}\left\|f-R_{k}(f)\right\|_{p}, & q=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Using the Marcinkievicz multiplier theorem we can describe these spaces by means of best approximation by trigonometric polynomials with harmonics from the hyperbolic cross.

Let $E_{k}\left(f ; L_{p}\right)$ be the error in the best approximation to $f$ by trigonometric polynomials with harmonics from the set

$$
\bigcup_{(s, r) \leqslant r_{1} N} \rho_{\mathrm{s}}(f)
$$

Statement. For $0<\theta<1$ and $1 \leqslant q<\infty$,

$$
\|f\|_{\theta, q} \simeq\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{k \theta r_{1}} E_{k}\left(f ; L_{p}\right)\right)^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Proof. The lower estimate is obvious. When proving the upper estimate we use the Marcinkievicz multiplier theorem. It yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{k \ell r_{1}}\left\|f-R_{k}(f)\right\|_{p}\right)^{q} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\left(\theta r_{1} q\right.}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(2^{(j-k) r} E_{j}\left(f ; L_{p}\right)+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} E_{j}\left(f ; L_{p}\right)\right)^{q}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The conclusion now is a consequence of Hardy's well-known inequality. The statement is proved.

Remark. In [4] an estimate of the best approximation by "hyperbolic cross" via the mixed modulus of continuity is given.
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